UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/597,663	08/02/2006	Nobufumi Ono	ONO-PAUS0001	4639	
58937 7590 09/10/2007 WOLFF LAW OFFICE, PLLC			EXAM	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 985	5		POLANSKY, GREGG		
CHAPEL HILL, NC 27515-9855			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1614		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/597,663	ONO, NOBUFUMI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gregg Polansky	.1614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versilure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re- vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	,	,				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 A	ugust 2006.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyar tion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burear * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
		•				
Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/02/2006.	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 				

Application/Control Number: 10/597,663 Page 2

Art Unit: 1614

Status of Claims

1. Applicants' Information Disclosure Statement, filed 8/02/2006, is acknowledged and has been reviewed to the extent each reference is a proper citation on a U.S. Patent.

- 2. Claims 1-2 are pending.
- 3. Claims 1-2 are under consideration.
- 4. Claims 1-2 are being considered as claims to a compound.

Specification

5. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "catenane compound is dissolved in a **solution**" (emphasis added). There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in Claim 1.

No solution has been disclosed Claim 1.

Art Unit: 1614

The term "greater" in Claim 2 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite.

The term "greater" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Takata et al. (Chemistry Letters, 1997, No. 9).

Instant Claim 1 is drawn to a catenane compound defined by the chemical formula (I) recited in the claim. Claim 2 is drawn to the compound of Claim 1, dissolved in a solution at a concentration of 300 µg/mL.

Takata et al. teach the compound of Claim 1 (see page 882, column 1, compound in box). The solubility of the compound, and thus its maximum dissolved concentration, is dependent upon the specific solvent used as well as other factors such as temperature; however, solubility is an inherent property of the compound. Takata et al. teach a solution of the compound of Claim 1. The compound is dissolved in a solvent of DMSO:CDCl₃ (dimethylsulfoxide and deuterated chloroform mixed in a 1:1

Application/Control Number: 10/597,663 Page 4

Art Unit: 1614

(v/v) ratio) at a concentration of at least 5 mg/ml for NMR analysis (see Figure 1, page 882).

Since Takata et al teach the instant compound, Claims 1-2 are properly rejected.

Conclusion

10. Claims 1-17 are rejected.

- 11. No claims are allowed.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregg Polansky whose telephone number is (571) 272-9070. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 8:30 A.M. 7:00 P.M. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on (571) 272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PHYLLIS SPIVACK
PHYLLIS SPIVACK
PRIMARY EXAMINER

8 3 10